Projecting Value Chain Development in Tanzania's Agricultural Growth Corridors Towards 2050

Kilimokwanza.org Team

Executive Summary

The Agricultural Growth Corridors of Tanzania (AGCOT) initiative represents a cornerstone of the nation's strategy to achieve transformative agricultural development by 2050. Positioned as Flagship No. 7 under the Agriculture Master Plan 2050 (AMP 2050) and aligned with the overarching goals of Tanzania Development Vision 2050, AGCOT aims to elevate the agricultural sector from subsistence farming to a primary engine of economic growth, food security, industrialization, and global competitiveness.[1] Building upon the documented successes of the Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania (SAGCOT) pilot program, AGCOT expands this model nationwide, encompassing the Central, Northern, and Mtwara corridors alongside the original SAGCOT region.[1]

Projections towards 2050 suggest distinct development trajectories for each corridor. The **SAGCOT Corridor** is anticipated to mature, deepening existing value chains like potatoes, avocados, dairy, soy, and rice, while potentially diversifying into other high-value areas, leveraging its established infrastructure and partnerships.[8] The **Central Corridor** is projected to emerge as an agro-industrial hub, potentially centered around high-value horticulture like mangoes and modernized livestock and grain value chains, contingent on significant infrastructure development, particularly irrigation.[11] The **Northern Corridor** is expected to focus on enhanced regional trade integration, particularly with Kenya and the East African Community (EAC), expanding its existing strengths in horticulture and value-added processing.[14] The **Mtwara Corridor**'s development appears closely linked to its natural resource endowments (gas, minerals) and the expansion of the Mtwara Port, with a strong focus on cashew value addition and potential synergies (or conflicts) with extractive industries.[17]

Achieving AGCOT's ambitious 2050 targets – including $20 billion in net agricultural exports, millions of rural jobs, a 25% rise in smallholder incomes, and undernourishment below 15% [1] – hinges critically on the Public-Private Partnership (PPP) model. This involves substantial public investment in enabling infrastructure (transport, energy, irrigation) and a conducive policy environment, coupled with large-scale private investment in commercial agriculture and agro-processing.[1]

Climate change adaptation through the widespread adoption of Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) practices is not merely desirable but essential for safeguarding productivity and resilience across all corridors.[23] Furthermore, realizing the vision requires genuine inclusivity, ensuring smallholder farmers, women, and youth actively participate and benefit from the transformation.[1]

Significant challenges must be navigated. Climate change impacts pose a severe threat to agricultural production and infrastructure.[23] Mobilizing the necessary finance, particularly sustained private capital, remains a major hurdle, alongside ensuring smallholders have access to credit and support services.[14] Delivering the required infrastructure upgrades across vast and diverse regions presents logistical and financial complexities.[31] Ensuring effective coordination, robust implementation capacity within the AGCOT Centre and its partners, and maintaining policy coherence and stability over the long term are also critical success factors.[1] Addressing potential environmental degradation and land tenure issues is vital for sustainability.[18]

Ultimately, AGCOT holds transformative potential for Tanzania's agricultural sector and broader economy by 2050. Its success, however, is contingent upon sustained political will, strategic and integrated investments, effective multi-stakeholder collaboration, robust climate adaptation measures, and a steadfast commitment to inclusive growth that benefits all Tanzanians.

1. The AGCOT Vision: National Strategy for Agricultural Transformation by 2050

1.1 Context: AGCOT's Role within Tanzania's Vision 2050 and Agriculture Master Plan 2050

The Agricultural Growth Corridors of Tanzania (AGCOT) initiative is strategically positioned as a central element within Tanzania's long-term national development architecture. It serves as Flagship Initiative No. 7 under the comprehensive Agriculture Master Plan 2050 (AMP 2050).[1] The AMP 2050 itself is conceptualized as a foundational pillar supporting the nation's ambition to transition to a higher middle-income country status by 2050, as articulated in the Tanzania Development Vision 2050.[3] This hierarchical alignment underscores the significance attached to agricultural transformation in the national development agenda.

This focus represents a discernible shift in policy direction, particularly emphasized under the administration of President Samia Suluhu Hassan. Agriculture is explicitly elevated from its traditional perception as a primarily subsistence activity to a strategic sector viewed as critical for driving national economic growth, ensuring food

security, generating employment, and fostering industrialization.[1] The launch of AGCOT signals a commitment to leveraging agriculture as a catalyst for broader socio-economic progress.

Furthermore, AGCOT's objectives and targets are designed to align with other key national and sectoral policy frameworks, including the Agriculture Sector Development Programme Phase II (ASDP II) and the government's Agenda 10/30 initiative.[1] This stated alignment aims to ensure policy coherence and synergy across different government programs directed towards agricultural development.

The embedding of AGCOT within these high-level national strategies, particularly its status as a flagship program under AMP 2050 which directly feeds into Vision 2050, suggests a strong degree of political will and backing. Historically, flagship programs linked to core long-term visions tend to receive prioritized attention in terms of resource allocation (both financial and political capital) and sustained focus, assuming political stability and continuity.[1] This strategic positioning enhances the likelihood that AGCOT will remain a central focus of government efforts through to 2050.

However, the explicit alignment with multiple policy frameworks (ASDP II, Agenda 10/30, AMP 2050, Vision 2050) introduces potential implementation complexities.[1] While intended to foster coherence, managing overlapping mandates, potentially conflicting priorities, or bureaucratic inertia across different plans and responsible institutions presents a significant challenge. The effective translation of this multi-framework alignment into seamless, coordinated action on the ground will heavily depend on the capacity and authority of the AGCOT Centre to navigate this intricate policy landscape and ensure harmonized implementation.[1]

1.2 From Pilot to National Scale: The SAGCOT Foundation and AGCOT Expansion

The AGCOT initiative is not being built from scratch but stands on the foundation laid by the Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania (SAGCOT). SAGCOT originated from the Kilimo Kwanza (Agriculture First) Vision and was officially launched in 2010/2011 as a public-private partnership (PPP) focused on unlocking the agricultural potential of the Southern Highlands region.[7] Over its approximately 14 years of operation, SAGCOT has served as a crucial pilot program, providing a proof-of-concept for the corridor-based agricultural development model now being scaled up nationally through AGCOT.[1]

SAGCOT's reported achievements provide the rationale for this national expansion. Sources indicate significant success in mobilizing investment, surpassing its original

target by 11% to reach $6.34 billion. This comprised $5.02 billion in public sector contributions, primarily directed towards enabling infrastructure, and $1.32 billion mobilized from the private sector for commercial farming and processing ventures.[1] While specific impact figures vary slightly across sources, the reported outcomes are substantial: reaching over 1 million farmers [1], bringing 1.3 million hectares under climate-resilient cultivation [1] (other sources mention 859,000 ha under improved technology [9] or 77,000 ha [45]), generating over $600 million in farm revenues [1] (or $351 million / $32 million+ in commodities sold [9]), and creating over 253,000 new jobs.[1]

Driven by this perceived success and responding to directives from the President and demands from stakeholders in other regions, the government mandated the expansion of the SAGCOT model nationwide.[5] AGCOT therefore incorporates three new corridors – Central, Northern, and Mtwara – alongside the existing SAGCOT corridor, aiming to replicate the development approach across these diverse geographical areas.[1] The strategy involves developing "cluster-specific investment blueprints and policy compacts" for each new corridor, mirroring the targeted, geographically focused approach utilized within SAGCOT.[1]

Despite SAGCOT's success serving as a compelling model, the direct replication across the new corridors faces inherent risks and challenges. SAGCOT was implemented in the Southern Highlands, a region recognized for its high agricultural potential and benefiting from an existing central infrastructure 'spine'.[8] The Central, Northern, and Mtwara corridors possess distinct agro-ecological conditions, varying levels of existing infrastructure, different socio-economic contexts, and unique market linkages.[6] Factors that proved advantageous for SAGCOT may not be equally present in these other regions. While the plan to develop cluster-specific blueprints [1] acknowledges the need for adaptation rather than simple duplication, the effectiveness of this tailoring process will be critical for achieving comparable success nationally. The approach needs to be sensitive to the specific constraints and opportunities within each new corridor.[41]

Nonetheless, the reported overachievement of SAGCOT's initial investment target [1] indicates a capacity to mobilize resources and foster investor confidence, at least within the pilot phase context. This suggests effective brokerage and facilitation by the SAGCOT Centre. However, maintaining this investment momentum for the significantly larger and more complex national AGCOT initiative represents a substantial challenge. The national scope implies vastly greater investment requirements to meet the ambitious 2050 targets.[1] Attracting the necessary scale of private capital (highlighted by the 99% private funding target for the Central mango project [11]) across all four diverse corridors will demand sustained efforts, a

continuously improving investment climate, and potentially more sophisticated de-risking mechanisms.

1.3 Strategic Objectives and Targets: AGCOT's 2050 Ambitions

The AGCOT initiative is underpinned by a set of ambitious, long-term targets intended to guide agricultural transformation through to 2050. These quantitative goals, aligned with frameworks like ASDP II, Agenda 10/30, and AMP 2050, include [1]:

- Catalyzing $20 billion in net agricultural exports.
- Creating millions of jobs in rural areas.
- Increasing smallholder incomes by 25%.
- Reducing national undernourishment rates to below 15%.

Beyond these specific metrics, AGCOT embodies broader strategic objectives aimed at fundamentally reshaping Tanzania's agricultural sector. The overarching goal is to transform agriculture into an economic powerhouse, positioning the country as a major global player in food production and achieving competitiveness in international markets.[1] This transformation is seen as crucial for ensuring national food security, driving industrialization linked to agriculture, and contributing significantly to poverty reduction.[4] The initiative supports the national target of achieving a $100 billion agricultural economy, as envisioned in the AMP 2050.[6]

To achieve export competitiveness, AGCOT identifies a portfolio of key commodities for strategic focus. These include staple crops like maize and rice; pulses; diverse horticultural products (including high-value fruits such as mangoes [11] and avocados [8], potatoes [8], and tomatoes [9]); oilseeds like soya [9] and sunflower [51]; wheat; and livestock products, including dairy [8] and poultry.[8] Other regionally important value chains like cashews in the Mtwara corridor [19] and fisheries [4] are also implicitly or explicitly included within the broader agricultural transformation agenda.

A core principle embedded within AGCOT's design is inclusivity. The initiative explicitly aims to elevate the role and participation of women and youth in agribusiness, recognizing them as critical actors in the transformation process.[1] This aligns with national efforts and specific programs like the Building a Better Tomorrow: Youth Initiative for Agribusiness (BBT-YIA) [6] and the Youth Empowerment through Nutrition-Sensitive Food Systems in Africa (YEFFA).[45]

The scale of the 2050 targets ($20bn exports, millions of jobs, 25% income rise) is undeniably ambitious when viewed against Tanzania's current agricultural base and historical performance.[29] Achieving such goals necessitates a truly transformative

leap, not merely incremental improvements. For instance, agricultural exports currently stand around $2.3 billion [13]; reaching $20 billion in net exports implies nearly a tenfold increase, a rate of growth far exceeding past trends.[29] Similarly, creating "millions" of quality jobs requires massive commercialization, value addition, and a shift away from low-productivity subsistence farming [29], a sector still employing the majority of the workforce.[4] The 25% income rise target is significant but requires careful consideration of baseline levels, inflation, and distribution across different farmer segments. These targets signal strong governmental intent but their attainment is heavily dependent on successfully overcoming deep-seated structural constraints, including climate vulnerability [23], infrastructure deficits [31], and persistent low productivity.[24]

Furthermore, the strategic focus on a specific basket of commodities for export competitiveness [1] inherently introduces market-related risks. Global commodity price volatility, evolving international consumer preferences, stringent quality and safety standards in export markets, and increasing competition from other agricultural exporting nations could significantly impact the long-term viability and profitability of these targeted value chains. A successful strategy extending to 2050 will require not only boosting production but also building adaptability, market intelligence capabilities, and potentially diversifying the commodity portfolio over time to mitigate these external risks.

1.4 The Public-Private Partnership (PPP) Engine: Framework and Investment Mobilization

The Public-Private Partnership (PPP) model is positioned as the central mechanism for driving investment and implementation under both SAGCOT and its national successor, AGCOT.[1] The Tanzanian government recognizes PPPs as a vital instrument for attracting investment across various sectors, including agriculture, to address constraints in financing, management, and maintenance of public goods and services, thereby accelerating socio-economic development.[22]

Within the AGCOT framework, the intended division of roles is clear: the public sector is responsible for creating an enabling environment through policy reforms and strategic investments in foundational infrastructure (such as roads, irrigation schemes, and power).[1] The private sector, in turn, is expected to be the primary driver of commercial activity, investing in farming operations, agro-processing facilities, logistics, market development, and other value chain components.[1]

Tanzania has established a national framework to govern PPPs, including the Public Private Partnership Act (Cap. 103) and its subsequent amendments (like Act No. 4 of

2023, aimed at streamlining processes and boosting investment) [62], along with supporting regulations and institutions such as the Public Private Partnership Centre (PPPC) within the Ministry of Finance.[22] Government support mechanisms exist to facilitate PPPs, including a PPP Facilitation Fund for project preparation and potential Viability Gap Funding for projects that are economically justified but not fully financially viable on their own.[66]

The SAGCOT pilot demonstrated the potential of this model, mobilizing a reported $1.32 billion in private investment alongside the $5.02 billion in public funds.[1] The ambition for AGCOT appears to lean even more heavily on private capital, exemplified by the projection that 99% of the investment for the Central Corridor mango development project will come from the private sector.[11] The AGCOT Centre, evolving from the SAGCOT Centre, plays a crucial role as the facilitator and coordinator of these partnerships, acting as an "honest broker" between government entities, agribusinesses, farmer organizations, development partners, and other stakeholders.[1]

However, the SAGCOT experience itself highlights a potential dependency on public investment. The reported ratio of approximately $4 of public funding for every $1 of private funding mobilized [1] suggests that significant public expenditure on enabling infrastructure was a critical prerequisite for attracting private sector engagement. Scaling the AGCOT model nationally implies a continued, and likely vastly increased, need for public investment in foundational infrastructure across all four corridors. This could place considerable strain on public finances over the long term, particularly if private investment mobilization falls short of expectations or requires substantial public de-risking through mechanisms like viability gap funding.[66] Successfully attracting the targeted levels of private capital, especially given the scale suggested by the $25 trillion figure mentioned for PPP projects across all sectors [64], remains a significant financial challenge requiring a consistently favorable investment climate.

Furthermore, the effective implementation of complex agricultural PPPs hinges on more than just available capital. It requires robust legal and institutional frameworks, clear allocation of risks between public and private partners, transparent procurement processes, and sufficient technical capacity within government agencies and the AGCOT Centre itself.[22] While Tanzania has made progress in establishing its PPP framework [22], historical challenges such as inadequate feasibility studies and institutional capacity gaps [33] could impede AGCOT's progress if not proactively managed. The long-term success of the PPP engine depends heavily on the AGCOT Centre's ability to structure and manage partnerships that are not only financially viable but also equitable and aligned with the initiative's broader development goals,

including smallholder inclusion.[54]

2. Foundational Pillars for Corridor Development

The successful development of value chains across the AGCOT corridors by 2050 relies on several interconnected foundational pillars: effective policy and governance, catalytic infrastructure investment, adequate financing, and the integration of climate resilience and sustainability principles.

2.1 Policy, Governance, and Coordination: The AGCOT Centre and Enabling Reforms

Central to the AGCOT initiative is the AGCOT Centre, an institution evolved from the SAGCOT Centre, tasked with serving as the operational nucleus for corridor development nationwide.[1] Its mandate is comprehensive, encompassing the facilitation of public and private investments, leading policy and regulatory dialogue to address bottlenecks, engaging a wide range of stakeholders (including government ministries, private companies, farmer groups, civil society, and research institutions), and monitoring the overall performance and impact of the corridor initiatives.[1]

AGCOT adopts a structured approach described as "one corridor, one cluster, one value chain at a time," emphasizing targeted interventions within specific geographic clusters and focused value chains.[1] This builds on SAGCOT's experience with its six established clusters (Ihemi, Mbarali, Kilombero, Ludewa, Rufiji, and Sumbawanga).[42] The plan involves developing specific investment blueprints and policy compacts tailored to the unique characteristics and opportunities within clusters identified in the new Central, Northern, and Mtwara corridors.[1]

A critical function of the AGCOT Centre and the broader initiative is to champion and facilitate an enabling environment for agricultural investment and growth. This involves actively working to remove regulatory barriers, streamline administrative procedures, and create a more business-friendly climate.[4] Dialogue platforms involving stakeholders and government officials at various levels are utilized to identify and address constraints, including those related to policy and infrastructure.[13] Strong land governance is also recognized as a necessary component of the enabling environment, with targeted interventions planned.[1] This is particularly relevant given identified challenges related to insecure land tenure, which can act as a disincentive to long-term investment and sustainable practices.[23] The need for a functioning national land cadaster has been highlighted as crucial for securing tenure and facilitating land markets.[23]

The structure presents a potential tension between centralized coordination and decentralized implementation. While the AGCOT Centre provides national oversight and strategic direction [1], effective implementation across four vast and diverse corridors necessitates strong capacity at the local level. The mention of "agile implementation teams" deployed across zones [1] acknowledges this need. However, ensuring these teams are adequately resourced, empowered, and effectively engage with local governments, farmer organizations [27], and the local private sector will be crucial for tailoring interventions to specific contexts and fostering genuine local ownership. Balancing central strategic guidance with the flexibility required for local adaptation represents a key governance challenge.

Furthermore, while the current focus on removing regulatory barriers and reforming policies is positive [5], the sustainability of these reforms over the long timeframe leading to 2050 is critical for attracting the necessary private investment. Agricultural investments, particularly in processing or perennial crops, often have long payback periods, making investors sensitive to policy instability.[57] Political cycles, shifts in government priorities, or inconsistent application of regulations can create uncertainty and deter investment. Embedding AGCOT within long-term national plans like AMP 2050 helps mitigate this risk, but consistent implementation and predictable policy-making remain essential throughout the period.

2.2 Infrastructure as a Catalyst: Planned Developments in Transport, Energy, and Irrigation

Infrastructure development is explicitly recognized as a critical catalyst for agricultural transformation within the AGCOT framework. The SAGCOT pilot demonstrated this, with significant public funds ($5.02 billion) directed towards enabling infrastructure [1] and advocacy efforts contributing to policy changes like the allocation of funds for rural roads via the Tanzania Rural and Urban Roads Agency (TARURA).[8] AGCOT plans continue this focus, with targeted interventions in infrastructure forming a key part of the strategy.[1] These corridor-specific investments align with broader national infrastructure development priorities outlined in successive Five Year Development Plans (FYDPs) [29] and the Transport Sector Investment Program (TSIP).[31]

The snippets highlight the need for, and planned investments in, several key infrastructure categories crucial for agricultural value chain development:

- **Transport**: This is fundamental, as corridors are often defined by transport routes.[15] Needs include upgrading and expanding the road network (especially rural feeder roads [8] and major highways like Kibaha-Chalinze [64]), rehabilitating and constructing railways (including the Central Line [69], the Standard Gauge

Railway (SGR) [69], and TAZARA [60]), enhancing port capacity and efficiency (Dar es Salaam [15], Mtwara [17], and potentially Bagamoyo [31]), improving airport infrastructure [31], and developing lake transport links (e.g., Mbamba Bay/Nkhata Bay for the Mtwara corridor extension [17]).

- **Energy**: Reliable and accessible energy is vital for agro-processing, irrigation, cold storage, and overall rural development. Plans include rural electrification initiatives [6], ensuring power supply to processing zones and businesses [13], and potentially leveraging hydropower resources (like the Julius Nyerere Hydropower Project [69] or addressing concerns around developments like Stiegler's Gorge/Rufiji [76]) and natural gas, particularly relevant for the Mtwara corridor.[17] There is also a push towards increasing the share of renewable energy.[23]
- **Irrigation**: Given the reliance on rain-fed agriculture [14] and increasing climate variability, expanding irrigation is deemed essential for boosting productivity and resilience.[3] This involves both large-scale public schemes and support for farmer-led micro-irrigation systems [24], with international partners like the World Bank providing support [29], though challenges remain regarding the efficiency and maintenance of existing schemes.[24]
- **Storage and Logistics**: Reducing post-harvest losses and improving market efficiency requires investment in aggregation centers, warehousing facilities (including the Warehouse Receipts System), and potentially cold chain infrastructure for perishable goods.[9]
- **Processing Facilities**: Adding value domestically is a key goal, necessitating investment in agro-processing capacity. This includes plans for Special Agro-Processing Zones (SAPZs) [6], dedicated industrial parks like the Mtwara cashew park [19], and general support for enhancing agro-processing capabilities across value chains.[1]

The sheer scale of infrastructure required to effectively support modern agricultural value chains across all four corridors by 2050 presents a significant challenge. Existing deficits are considerable.[1] Successfully planning, financing (through both public and private sources), and constructing these diverse projects in a timely manner is critical. Delays in any key area – transport connectivity, energy access, irrigation availability – could create major bottlenecks, hindering value chain development and jeopardizing the achievement of AGCOT's targets. The complexity is compounded by the fact that large infrastructure projects are often prone to delays and cost overruns, and existing transport capacity may already be strained by demand from other sectors like mining.[32]

Furthermore, the effectiveness of infrastructure investments depends on synergies

between different types. Agro-processing zones [6] are only viable with reliable power [13] and efficient transport links.[31] Expanded irrigation [24] may require energy for water pumping. Export competitiveness [1] depends on integrated systems linking farms to processing centers, storage facilities, and efficient ports with good hinterland connections.[10] Isolated projects are insufficient; a modern value chain requires a functioning system.[1] Failure in one infrastructure component can significantly undermine the value and impact of investments in others. This highlights the need for a truly integrated, cross-sectoral approach to infrastructure planning and implementation, as envisioned by frameworks like the TSIP [31], but which requires robust coordination in practice.

2.3 Financing the Transformation: Public Funds, Donor Support, and Private Capital

Financing the ambitious scale of AGCOT's transformation agenda until 2050 requires mobilizing substantial resources from a combination of public, private, and development partner sources, building on the PPP model central to the initiative (see Section 1.4).

Known funding streams include direct contributions from the Government of Tanzania [45] and significant support from various development partners. Key donors mentioned include Norway (providing $15 million specifically for AGCOT rollout support via ATO and SAGCOT Centre) [5], the UK's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO/UK Aid) [45], the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) [45], the African Development Bank (AfDB) [43], the World Bank [23], the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA) [5], the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) [45], the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) [50], and potentially the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC) based on past involvement in the Central Corridor.[51] Private sector investment is expected to play a major, potentially dominant, role in commercial activities.[1]

Specific financial institutions and mechanisms are being leveraged to support the sector. The Tanzania Agricultural Development Bank (TADB) is actively involved in financing value chains, such as providing dedicated funds (supported by JICA) for soybean and horticulture farmers and related infrastructure like warehousing.[43] The recently launched Cooperative Bank of Tanzania (CBT), with government and cooperative ownership, aims to provide financial services to farmers and cooperative societies, potentially improving access to funds for inputs.[6] Innovative financing mechanisms were considered under SAGCOT [54], and improving access to credit, particularly for smallholders, is a recurring theme.[14] The Warehouse Receipts System

is also intended to facilitate agri-financing.[10]

However, the scale of financing required to achieve the AGCOT and AMP 2050 targets – aiming for a $100 billion agricultural economy and $20 billion in net exports [1] – appears vast compared to current investment flows. The AfDB estimated that transforming African agriculture continent-wide might require $280-340 billion over a decade [81], giving a sense of the magnitude involved. A significant financing gap likely exists between the 2050 ambitions and currently committed or projected funding, especially concerning long-term private capital mobilization. While development partner support is evidently crucial and substantial [5], over-reliance on donor funding creates vulnerability to shifting international priorities and funding cycles. Therefore, the core financial challenge for AGCOT lies in attracting sufficient, sustained private investment at the required scale across all four corridors. This necessitates not only a highly attractive and stable investment climate but potentially also the deployment of innovative financial instruments and de-risking mechanisms to crowd in private capital.[25]

Crucially, alongside mobilizing large-scale investments for major projects and commercial enterprises, ensuring that smallholder farmers have adequate access to finance is paramount for achieving AGCOT's inclusivity goals.[1] Smallholders dominate Tanzania's agricultural landscape [14], yet limited access to credit is frequently cited as a major constraint preventing them from adopting improved technologies, purchasing quality inputs, and participating effectively in commercial value chains.[1] Institutions like TADB [43] and the new CBT [6] are vital conduits for smallholder finance, but their reach, capacity, and the appropriateness of their financial products need to be significantly scaled up to meet the demand across all corridors. Without accessible and affordable finance tailored to smallholder needs, the goals of lifting millions out of poverty and achieving the targeted 25% income increase [1] are unlikely to be met, potentially exacerbating inequalities within the sector.[59]

2.4 Climate Resilience and Sustainability: Integrating Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA)

Climate change poses a fundamental threat to Tanzania's agricultural ambitions and broader development goals towards 2050.[4] The country is considered highly vulnerable, ranking 47th globally in vulnerability in 2022.[25] Projections indicate significant impacts, including rising average temperatures (potentially 1.2-3.6°C by 2050-2080 depending on emissions scenarios), increased variability in rainfall patterns leading to more frequent and intense droughts and floods, and sea-level rise affecting coastal areas.[23] These changes are expected to negatively impact crop

yields (with potential maize losses up to 14%), increase livestock production losses, affect fisheries, damage vital infrastructure, reduce labor productivity due to heat stress, increase poverty (potentially pushing an additional 2.6 million people into poverty by 2050), and trigger internal migration.[23] Economic losses from climate impacts on agriculture are already estimated at $200 million annually.[85]

In response, integrating Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) principles and practices is recognized as essential. CSA aims to simultaneously achieve three objectives: sustainably increasing agricultural productivity and incomes; adapting and building resilience to climate change; and reducing or removing greenhouse gas emissions where possible.[24] Given Tanzania's low overall emissions profile but high vulnerability, adaptation is the clear priority.[24]

A range of specific CSA practices are identified as relevant and viable for Tanzania across various policy documents and analyses:

- **Improved Land and Water Management**: This includes integrated soil fertility management (using both organic and inorganic fertilizers), conservation agriculture techniques (minimum tillage, cover cropping, mulching), agroforestry, water harvesting, efficient irrigation methods, and sustainable pastureland management (rotational grazing, improved fodder).[23]
- **Climate-Resilient Crop Varieties and Livestock Breeds**: Developing and disseminating seeds tolerant to drought, heat, and pests/diseases, as well as livestock breeds better adapted to changing conditions, is crucial.[24]
- **Enhanced Irrigation**: Expanding access to reliable water through various irrigation systems is a key adaptation strategy.[23]
- **Risk Management Tools**: Promoting access to crop and livestock insurance and strengthening climate information services and early warning systems enables farmers to better manage climate-related risks.[24]
- **Sustainable Resource Management**: Broader efforts focus on conserving biodiversity, protecting forests and water catchments, and ensuring the sustainable use of natural resources, recognizing their link to agricultural resilience.[4]

Both SAGCOT and the broader AGCOT initiative explicitly commit to promoting climate resilience and environmental sustainability as core components of their strategy.[1] SAGCOT reported bringing 1.3 million hectares under climate-resilient cultivation, demonstrating practical application.[1]

Given the profound vulnerability of Tanzanian agriculture to climate change [25] and the potentially devastating impacts on production, livelihoods, and infrastructure [23],

successful adaptation through the widespread adoption of CSA is not merely an add-on but a fundamental prerequisite for achieving AGCOT's 2050 targets. Failure to build resilience could significantly undermine or even negate progress made in productivity, income generation, and food security. The ambitious goals outlined for AGCOT [1] are therefore intrinsically dependent on mainstreaming effective climate adaptation across all corridors.

However, translating the identification of viable CSA practices into widespread adoption faces significant hurdles. There is a noted lack of context-specific evidence and knowledge regarding the impacts and suitability of various CSA practices in different agro-ecological zones and farming systems, challenging effective promotion and uptake.[85] Current adoption rates for improved inputs and practices remain low among many smallholders.[14] Limited access to finance and insurance prevents farmers from investing in necessary adaptations.[24] Furthermore, weaknesses in agricultural extension services hinder the dissemination of knowledge and technical support required for adopting new practices.[24] Overcoming this implementation gap requires more than just acknowledging the importance of CSA; it demands dedicated strategies within AGCOT focused on targeted research and development, strengthening extension systems, improving access to finance for adaptation investments, and creating robust knowledge-sharing platforms.[24]

3. Corridor-Specific Value Chain Projections Towards 2050

Projecting the specific development of agricultural value chains within each AGCOT corridor up to 2050 requires synthesizing the initiative's overall objectives, the lessons learned from SAGCOT, the identified potential and characteristics of each corridor, and the key drivers and constraints outlined in the available information. Projections for the SAGCOT corridor benefit from its established track record, while those for the Central, Northern, and Mtwara corridors carry higher uncertainty due to their earlier stage of development under the AGCOT framework and comparatively less detailed available data specific to their future plans within AGCOT.

3.1 SAGCOT Corridor (Morogoro, Iringa, Njombe, Mbeya, Songwe, Rukwa, Katavi, Dar es Salaam, Pwani regions [1])

- **Current Status**: The SAGCOT corridor represents the most mature component of the AGCOT initiative. It benefits from over a decade of implementation, characterized by an established PPP model, significant mobilized investment ($6.34bn total reported [1]), operational clusters (Ihemi, Mbarali, Kilombero being active [42]), and demonstrated successes in developing specific value chains. Key examples include potatoes (yields reportedly rising from 7 to 20-40 tons/ha,

enabling exports to Kenya), avocados (becoming a leading export region with 25,000 MT annually), dairy (significant processing expansion by companies like ASAS), poultry (large investments in chick production and processing), soy, rice, and tomatoes.[8] The corridor has reportedly reached a large number of farmers (figures range from 81,000 to over 1 million [1]) and accounts for a substantial share (over 65%) of Tanzania's total food production.[7]

- **Projected 2050 Value Chain Development**: Towards 2050, the SAGCOT corridor is likely to focus on **maturation and deepening** of its established value chains. This implies a continued push for increased productivity, efficiency gains, enhanced quality standards to meet domestic, regional [8], and international market requirements [53], and greater emphasis on value addition through processing within the corridor. Sustainability and environmental considerations are expected to remain key principles.[27] Alongside deepening existing strengths, **diversification** into other high-value horticultural crops, niche products, or further development of livestock and potentially fisheries value chains is plausible, leveraging the established infrastructure, partnerships, and institutional framework. **Technology integration** is projected to increase, building on the existing base of climate-resilient cultivation [1] with wider adoption of CSA practices, digital agriculture tools for extension and market access [9], and potentially greater mechanization. **Infrastructure enhancement** will likely continue, focusing on improving last-mile rural roads [8], optimizing irrigation systems [24], expanding storage and logistics capacity [10], and boosting processing capabilities within the operational clusters.
- **Key Drivers**: The primary drivers for SAGCOT's continued development include its established multi-stakeholder partnerships, the existing core transport infrastructure spine [34], the proven effectiveness (at least in this context) of the cluster model [27], and the likelihood of continued government and development partner support, given its foundational role for AGCOT.[5]
- **Key Challenges**: Challenges include sustaining the investment and development momentum over several more decades, managing the increased environmental pressures that can arise from agricultural intensification (e.g., water use, soil health, biodiversity impacts [34]), ensuring that the benefits of growth genuinely reach marginalized smallholders and do not lead to exclusion [59], and adapting effectively to the specific climate change impacts projected for the Southern Highlands.[87]
- The future trajectory of SAGCOT appears likely to exhibit path dependency, strongly influenced by its historical successes. Investments may naturally gravitate towards the value chains and clusters where progress has already been demonstrated.[1] While this builds on strength, it could potentially make it more

challenging to pivot towards entirely new opportunities or adapt quickly if market conditions or climate impacts necessitate significant shifts away from established activities. Deliberate strategic effort will be needed to foster continued innovation and diversification beyond the current portfolio.

3.2 Central Corridor (Dodoma, Singida, Tabora, Lake Zone regions [1])

- **Current Status**: Compared to SAGCOT, the Central Corridor is generally considered less developed in terms of modern commercial agriculture. Its agricultural landscape has traditionally focused on staple crops and extensive livestock keeping. However, potential has been identified for specific value chains, most notably mangoes, which are being targeted for development as a high-value export crop.[11] The region benefits strategically from its central location and the presence of the central railway line.[13] Past development interventions, such as the Rural Livelihood Development Programme (RLDP), worked on contract farming models for cotton, rice, sunflower, and poultry in parts of this zone.[51]
- **Projected 2050 Value Chain Development**: Development in the Central Corridor by 2050 is projected to focus on **emerging hubs** centered around specific high-potential clusters and value chains, guided by the forthcoming "investment blueprints".[1] The mango initiative serves as a prime example of this targeted approach.[11] There is also significant potential for the **modernization of livestock value chains**, given the region's size and pastoral traditions, and for improving the productivity and market integration of **staple grains** like maize [14] and sorghum [14], leveraging the central transport infrastructure. Realizing this potential necessitates a substantial **infrastructure build-out**. Key investments will be required in irrigation (given the potentially drier climate of parts of the zone), upgrading rural roads to connect production areas to the central railway and major highways, developing adequate storage facilities, and establishing processing capacity, particularly for horticultural products like mangoes. **Market linkages** will likely focus initially on connecting producers to growing domestic urban centers (like the capital, Dodoma) and potentially utilizing the central railway for access to the Port of Dar es Salaam for export.[69] Given the climate profile, **CSA adoption** will be critical, with a particular focus on adaptation strategies for drought and heat stress, such as water harvesting techniques, cultivation of drought-tolerant crop varieties, and investment in water-efficient irrigation systems.[23]
- **Key Drivers**: Key drivers include the explicit government focus through AGCOT [1], the strategic advantage of the central location and existing transport links [13], and the identified potential for specific high-value commodities like mangoes.[11]
- **Key Challenges**: Major challenges include potentially lower and more variable

rainfall compared to the Southern Highlands, increasing climate vulnerability [30], a less developed existing infrastructure base requiring significant new investment, the challenge of attracting sufficient private capital (with high reliance noted for the mango project [11]), and the need to build effective partnerships and institutional capacity from a lower starting point than SAGCOT.

- The success of the targeted mango initiative [11] may serve as a crucial litmus test for AGCOT's ability to catalyze private-sector-led, high-value agricultural development in the newly incorporated corridors. Mangoes, being perishable, require specialized post-harvest handling, logistics (potentially cold chains), and rapid market access [10] – infrastructure and systems which may be less developed in the Central Corridor compared to SAGCOT or the Northern Corridor. The high projected reliance on private investment (99%) [11] underscores the need for creating a highly attractive and de-risked environment specifically for this value chain. Its success would signal strong potential for diversification across AGCOT, while significant struggles would highlight the difficulties in replicating the SAGCOT model without substantial upfront public investment and tailored support systems.

3.3 Northern Corridor (Arusha, Kilimanjaro, Manyara, Tanga regions [1])

- **Current Status**: The Northern Corridor is characterized by relatively high levels of economic activity and established agricultural production systems. Key sub-sectors include horticulture (vegetables, flowers, fruits), traditional cash crops like coffee, staple grains, and livestock.[14] The region benefits from proximity to neighboring Kenya, facilitating significant cross-border trade, and linkages to a vibrant tourism industry. It possesses relatively developed transport infrastructure, although congestion can be an issue on key routes like the Northern Transport Corridor linking Mombasa through Nairobi to Uganda and beyond.[15] Challenges include increasing pressure on land resources and potential climate change impacts, particularly related to water availability and pest/disease pressure.[14]
- **Projected 2050 Value Chain Development**: Value chain development in the Northern Corridor towards 2050 is likely to have a strong **regional integration focus**. Proximity and existing trade flows suggest an emphasis on strengthening cross-border value chains with Kenya and the wider EAC market for products like horticulture, dairy, grains, and potentially processed agricultural goods.[14] Building on existing strengths [16], **horticulture expansion**, particularly for export-oriented flowers, fruits, and vegetables, is anticipated, leveraging the favorable climate in highland areas and the infrastructure around Arusha and Kilimanjaro. Growth in **value addition** through agro-processing is expected, catering to domestic

demand (including the tourism sector) as well as regional export markets. **Infrastructure upgrades** will likely concentrate on improving the efficiency of existing transport networks, potentially addressing congestion points [15], enhancing logistics for perishable goods (e.g., cold storage, efficient border crossings), and possibly expanding irrigation infrastructure in suitable zones. **CSA integration** will be important for sustaining productivity, focusing on managing water stress, improving soil health [30], and addressing pest and disease challenges exacerbated by climate change.[14]

- **Key Drivers**: The primary drivers for the Northern Corridor's development include its strategic location providing access to regional markets [15], the existing base of economic activity and infrastructure [15], established agricultural production systems [14], and the targeted support provided through the AGCOT framework.[1]
- **Key Challenges**: Significant challenges include managing increasing land scarcity and competition for resources [16], ensuring sustainable water resource management, facing potential competition from established players in neighboring countries (particularly Kenya [15]), ensuring that smallholder farmers are effectively included in and benefit from high-value export chains, and overcoming potential infrastructure bottlenecks, especially in transport logistics.[15]
- The development trajectory of the Northern Corridor is intrinsically linked to its regional context, especially its relationship with Kenya.[15] Its success by 2050 will depend not only on boosting domestic production and processing but also on successfully navigating the competitive landscape of the EAC market. This requires identifying and developing specific competitive advantages – whether in particular crops, specific production windows, processing efficiencies, or quality attributes – while also capitalizing on opportunities for complementary trade within the region. Achieving this necessitates sophisticated market intelligence, effective trade facilitation measures, and policies that support Tanzanian producers and processors in meeting regional standards and demands.

3.4 Mtwara Corridor (Lindi, Mtwara, Ruvuma regions [1])

- **Current Status**: The Mtwara Corridor, encompassing Tanzania's southern coastal regions and hinterland, has historically been relatively underdeveloped compared to other parts of the country. However, it possesses significant untapped potential, particularly in natural resources like offshore natural gas [17] and inland minerals and coal.[17] Agriculturally, the region is a major producer of cashew nuts [14] and has potential for oilseeds and other crops. Significant infrastructure development is underway or planned, aimed at unlocking this potential. This includes upgrades to the Mtwara Port [17], the construction of the Unity Bridge connecting to Mozambique [18], road improvements [75], and potential rail links.[17] The

region also faces significant environmental considerations due to its proximity to globally important biodiversity areas like the Selous Game Reserve and Niassa Reserve.[17]

- **Projected 2050 Value Chain Development**: Agricultural development in the Mtwara Corridor by 2050 is projected to be strongly characterized by **resource-linked growth**. Opportunities are expected to arise from synergies with the burgeoning natural gas sector, potential mining activities, and the expansion of the Mtwara Port.[17] This could manifest as increased local demand for food from industrial workforces, or more significantly, the utilization of improved transport infrastructure (port, rail, roads) to facilitate the export of agricultural commodities. A major focus will be on **cashew value addition**, moving beyond raw nut exports. Ambitious targets aim to process 60% of cashews locally by 2025 [19] and potentially 100% by 2027.[20] Initiatives like the development of a dedicated Cashew Industrial Park in Mtwara [19] and investments by cooperative unions like TANECU in processing units [19] are central to this strategy. There is also potential for expanding the production and marketing of **oilseeds** (such as groundnuts [44] and sesame) and other staple crops, benefiting from improved infrastructure and enhanced regional trade connectivity with Mozambique, Malawi, and Zambia via the corridor.[17] Addressing aflatoxin contamination will be crucial for groundnut and maize value chains.[44] The realization of this agricultural potential is heavily dependent on the successful completion and efficient operation of the planned **infrastructure synergy**, including the port, road, and potential rail upgrades [17], as well as planned industrial facilities like a major fertilizer plant using local natural gas.[78] Critically, a strong **sustainability focus** will be required to balance development ambitions with the need to protect the region's significant environmental assets and biodiversity.[17] This necessitates robust integrated spatial planning, environmental impact assessments, and effective enforcement mechanisms.[18]
- **Key Drivers**: The primary drivers include the potential economic spillovers from natural resource development (gas, minerals), the strategic importance of the Mtwara Port providing sea access for a wide hinterland [17], the explicit government focus through AGCOT [1], and the strong existing base in cashew production offering clear potential for value addition.[19]
- **Key Challenges**: Challenges include overcoming the legacy of historically underdeveloped infrastructure, managing potential conflicts and competition for resources (land, water, labor) between agriculture and the extractive industries, mitigating significant environmental risks associated with large-scale development in a sensitive ecological zone [17], ensuring that the benefits of development are shared equitably with local communities, and the inherent

dependence on the timely materialization of large, complex infrastructure projects.

- The Mtwara Corridor presents a unique scenario where significant agricultural potential coexists with major planned investments in extractive industries and related infrastructure.[17] This creates both opportunities for synergy (e.g., shared use of improved ports and railways, local markets created by industrial activity [32]) and substantial risks of conflict (e.g., competition for land and water, environmental degradation from mining or industrial activities impacting agriculture, potential for agricultural development to be overshadowed or displaced [18]). Achieving a positive outcome by 2050 that leverages synergies while mitigating conflicts requires proactive, integrated planning and governance, as highlighted by recommendations for Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEAs) and integrated resource management in the region.[18] Without careful management, the corridor's development could prioritize short-term gains from extractives over long-term sustainable agricultural development and local livelihoods.

Table 1: Comparative Overview of Projected AGCOT Corridor Development by 2050

(This table summarizes the detailed analysis presented in sections 3.1-3.4, providing a comparative snapshot based on the synthesis of available information [1])

Corridor Name	Projected Key Value Chains (2050)	Projected Development Stage (2050)	Strategic Focus	Key Opportunities	Key Challenges
SAGCOT	Potatoes, Avocados, Dairy, Soy, Rice, Tomatoes, Poultry (Mature); Diversified High-Value Horticulture	Mature & Diversifying	Deepening existing value chains, enhancing value addition, sustainability	Established partnerships & infrastructure, proven cluster model, high production base	Sustaining momentum, environmental pressures from intensification, ensuring continued smallholder inclusion, climate adaptation in highlands

Central	Mangoes (Emerging Export), Livestock (Modernized), Staple Grains (Improved), Potential Grapes	Emerging Hubs	Developing specific high-potential clusters, leveraging central transport links	Central location, transport links (rail), specific commodity potential (mangoes), government focus via AGCOT	Climate vulnerability (drought), underdeveloped infrastructure base, attracting private investment, building partnerships
Northern	Horticulture (Export/Regional), Dairy (Regional), Grains (Regional), Processed Goods	Integrated & Expanding	Regional trade integration (EAC), high-value horticulture, value addition	Proximity to regional markets (Kenya), existing economic activity & infrastructure, established agricultural base	Land/water pressure, regional competition, smallholder inclusion in export chains, potential transport bottlenecks
Mtwara	Cashews (Value Addition Focus), Oilseeds, Staples; Potential resource-linked agriculture	Resource-Linked Transformation	Cashew processing, leveraging port/resource development, regional connectivity	Natural resource synergies (gas/minerals), port access, cashew strength, cross-border links (Mozambique/Malawi)	Infrastructure delivery dependency, environmental risks, potential resource conflicts, historical underdevelopment

4. Realizing the 2050 Vision: Impacts, Challenges, and Strategic Pathways

The AGCOT initiative, if implemented successfully across all four corridors, holds the potential to significantly reshape Tanzania's socio-economic landscape by 2050.

However, realizing this vision requires not only achieving ambitious production and export targets but also ensuring inclusive growth and navigating substantial risks and bottlenecks.

4.1 Projected Socio-Economic Impacts: Contribution to GDP, Food Security, Employment, and Poverty Reduction

A transformed agricultural sector, driven by AGCOT, could make a major contribution to Tanzania's overall economic growth trajectory, supporting the national aspiration of achieving higher middle-income status by 2050.[3] Given that agriculture currently contributes roughly 26-30% of GDP [4], modernizing the sector and achieving the target of a $100 billion agricultural economy [6] would have profound macroeconomic implications.

Successful implementation is also projected to enhance national and regional food security. Building on Tanzania's current status of food self-sufficiency (reported at over 110-128% [5]), increased productivity and efficiency across the corridors could further stabilize domestic food supplies and potentially position Tanzania as a reliable food basket for the region.[28] This aligns with the specific target of reducing national undernourishment rates to below 15% by 2050.[1]

The potential for employment generation is significant, with AGCOT targeting the creation of "millions" of rural jobs.[1] This contrasts with SAGCOT's reported creation of around 253,000 jobs [1], indicating the scale of ambition for the national rollout. These jobs are expected not just in primary farming but throughout the value chain – in input supply, processing, logistics, marketing, and support services. This is crucial in a country where the majority of the workforce is still engaged in agriculture [4], often in low-productivity subsistence activities.[29] AGCOT aims to facilitate a shift towards more productive employment within the sector.

Consequently, AGCOT is envisioned as a major driver of poverty reduction. SAGCOT aimed to lift over two million people out of poverty [53], and AGCOT targets a 25% increase in smallholder incomes.[1] This is particularly important given that national poverty rates have remained stubbornly high despite periods of strong economic growth, with poverty concentrated in rural areas.[25] Transforming agricultural livelihoods is therefore seen as key to achieving more inclusive national development.

However, achieving the sheer scale of economic impact envisioned ($100bn economy, $20bn exports) might necessitate prioritizing large-scale, highly commercialized operations to achieve economies of scale and meet international market demands.[59] This emphasis on large-scale commercialization could potentially create tension with

the equally important goals of broad-based smallholder income growth (the 25% target) and widespread poverty reduction. While AGCOT explicitly aims for inclusivity [1], ensuring that smallholders are not marginalized but can effectively participate and capture benefits requires robust and potentially costly support systems (finance, extension, market linkages [10]). There is a risk, highlighted in analyses of similar corridor initiatives [59], that without strong, deliberate mechanisms for inclusion, the benefits could disproportionately accrue to larger commercial players, potentially leading to a more dualistic agricultural sector rather than broad-based prosperity.

4.2 Ensuring Inclusive Growth: Integrating Smallholders, Women, and Youth

Inclusivity is repeatedly emphasized as a foundational principle and core pillar of the AGCOT strategy.[1] The initiative aims to move beyond simply increasing aggregate production and exports, explicitly targeting the integration and empowerment of smallholder farmers, women, and youth.

Strategies for integrating smallholders into more commercial and profitable value chains are central to the approach. This includes facilitating linkages between smallholders and larger agribusinesses, processors, and markets [27], often through mechanisms like contract farming [10] or out-grower schemes connected to nucleus farms within the cluster model.[27] Providing smallholders with improved access to essential inputs (quality seeds, fertilizers), modern technology, financial services, and technical knowledge through capacity building and enhanced extension services is considered vital for enabling their participation.[4]

There is a specific and deliberate focus on elevating the role of women and youth.[1] This recognizes their significant contribution to the agricultural labor force and their potential as drivers of innovation and resilience.[55] Initiatives like BBT-YIA [6] and YEFFA [45] specifically target young agripreneurs, providing training, resources, land access, and finance. The economic rationale is clear: closing gender gaps in agriculture could significantly boost yields and lift households out of poverty.[55]

However, potential negative consequences of large-scale agricultural development must be managed to ensure genuine inclusivity. Corridor development can sometimes lead to competition for land and resources, potentially displacing smallholders or marginalizing vulnerable groups if their rights are not protected.[59] Contract farming arrangements, while offering market access, need careful structuring and oversight to ensure fair terms and prevent exploitation of smallholders.[51]

A critical aspect for ensuring genuine inclusivity is the ability to measure and monitor it effectively. While AGCOT's goals include smallholder income increases and farmer

reach numbers are reported [1], the available information lacks detail on specific metrics or robust mechanisms for tracking whether the benefits of growth are being distributed equitably among different groups (smallholders vs. large farms, women vs. men, youth vs. older farmers). The AGCOT Centre's mandate includes monitoring social impact and the role of women and youth [54], but the effectiveness of this depends on the development and application of clear, disaggregated indicators and accountability frameworks within its Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) system. Without such specific tracking, there is a risk that aggregate success metrics could mask growing inequalities, undermining the core principle of inclusive transformation.

4.3 Navigating Risks and Bottlenecks: Climate Vulnerability, Market Access, Implementation Capacity, and Financial Gaps

The path towards realizing AGCOT's 2050 vision is fraught with significant risks and potential bottlenecks that require proactive management. Synthesizing the analysis across the foundational pillars and corridor specifics reveals several critical areas of concern:

- **Climate Change Vulnerability**: As detailed in Section 2.4, Tanzania's high vulnerability to climate change impacts (droughts, floods, temperature extremes) poses a direct threat to agricultural productivity, infrastructure resilience, and livelihoods across all corridors.[23] While adaptation through CSA is essential, significant implementation challenges remain (see Insight 2.8).
- **Market Access and Volatility**: Achieving the ambitious export targets depends on securing reliable access to international and regional markets, meeting increasingly stringent quality and safety standards, and navigating global price volatility and competition.[1] Domestically, fragmented markets and poor logistics continue to be persistent challenges hindering efficiency.[1]
- **Infrastructure Gaps and Delays**: The successful development of value chains is fundamentally dependent on timely and adequate investment in transport, energy, irrigation, and storage infrastructure across all four corridors. Existing deficits are large, and delays in these complex, large-scale projects represent a major bottleneck risk (see Insight 2.3, Insight 2.4).[1]
- **Financial Constraints**: Mobilizing the immense financial resources required – particularly sustained private capital – is a core challenge. Bridging the apparent financing gap and ensuring smallholders have access to affordable credit and risk management tools are critical but unresolved issues (see Insight 1.7, Insight 2.5, Insight 2.6).[14]
- **Implementation Capacity and Coordination**: Successfully managing a nationwide, multi-corridor, multi-stakeholder initiative like AGCOT requires

exceptional coordination, strong institutional capacity (both centrally at the AGCOT Centre and decentralized), technical expertise, and effective policy coherence across various government bodies (see Insight 1.2, Insight 2.1).[1]

- **Land Tenure Insecurity**: Ambiguity and lack of formalization in land tenure systems can deter long-term investment by both smallholders and larger commercial players, hindering productivity gains and the adoption of sustainable land management practices.[23]
- **Environmental Degradation**: Agricultural intensification, infrastructure development, and potential conflicts with extractive industries (especially in Mtwara) pose risks to biodiversity, water resources, and soil health if not managed sustainably through strong environmental safeguards and integrated planning.[17]

Crucially, these risks are not isolated but highly interconnected. Climate change impacts can damage infrastructure, disrupt markets, and reduce farmer incomes, making adaptation investments harder to finance. Financial gaps limit the ability to invest in climate resilience, infrastructure, and improved technologies. Weak implementation capacity hampers the effective deployment of solutions across all areas. Environmental degradation can undermine long-term agricultural productivity and resilience. Therefore, addressing these challenges requires an integrated, systemic approach that recognizes these interdependencies. The "systems-level transformation" rhetoric associated with AGCOT [1] needs to be translated into practical, cross-cutting risk management strategies that tackle these interconnected issues holistically rather than in silos.

4.4 Strategic Recommendations for Sustained Value Chain Development Across Corridors

Based on the analysis of the available information and the identified potential and challenges, the following strategic pathways appear crucial for maximizing the likelihood of achieving AGCOT's 2050 vision for value chain development across all four corridors:

1. **Prioritize and Accelerate Climate-Resilient Infrastructure Investment**: Given the critical role of infrastructure and the threat of climate change, a primary focus should be on accelerating investment in climate-proofed transport (roads, rail, ports), energy (reliable, increasingly renewable), irrigation (efficient systems tailored to water availability), and storage/logistics infrastructure across all corridors. This requires integrated planning that links agricultural needs with national plans like the TSIP, energy sector strategies, and integrated water resource management frameworks.[23] Innovative financing models, including

effective PPP structuring with appropriate risk allocation and de-risking mechanisms [54], alongside tapping into dedicated climate finance sources [23], will be essential.

2. **Strengthen AGCOT Centre Capacity and Context-Specific Planning**: The AGCOT Centre needs robust capacity for strategic coordination, effective partnership brokerage, and rigorous M&E, particularly focusing on tracking inclusivity metrics (see Insight 4.2). Crucially, its ability to facilitate the development and implementation of tailored, context-specific "investment blueprints" and CSA packages for each distinct corridor and cluster needs strengthening.[1] This requires enhancing decentralized implementation capacity and ensuring genuine local stakeholder input in planning and execution.
3. **Scale Up Targeted Support for Smallholder Integration**: To achieve the inclusivity goals and broad-based income growth, interventions must move beyond pilot projects to scaled-up support for smallholders. This includes improving access to appropriate financial products (potentially through expanding the reach and tailoring the offerings of TADB and CBT [50]), ensuring availability of quality inputs, providing timely and actionable climate and market information [24], strengthening extension services (perhaps through digital platforms and farmer-led models [24]), and promoting fair and transparent linkage models like well-managed contract farming schemes.[51] Proactive measures to ensure women and youth can access these opportunities and overcome specific barriers they face are vital.[28]
4. **Implement Robust Climate Risk Management**: Climate adaptation cannot be an afterthought. AGCOT needs to actively implement comprehensive climate risk management strategies. This involves scaling up the adoption of locally appropriate and proven CSA practices [24], investing in improved climate information services and early warning systems accessible to farmers [24], promoting agricultural insurance and other risk mitigation tools [24], and systematically integrating climate change projections and vulnerability assessments into all long-term agricultural and infrastructure planning within the corridors.[23]
5. **Foster a Stable Enabling Environment and Environmental Safeguards**: Long-term private investment hinges on a stable, predictable, and transparent policy and regulatory environment.[5] Continued efforts to streamline regulations and ensure policy coherence are needed. Addressing land governance challenges to improve tenure security is also crucial for both investment and sustainable land management.[23] Simultaneously, robust environmental safeguards must be integrated into all corridor development activities, particularly in ecologically sensitive areas like the Mtwara corridor, requiring effective spatial planning,

impact assessments, and enforcement to prevent irreversible degradation.[18]

5. Conclusion: Outlook for Tanzania's Agricultural Corridors in 2050

The Agricultural Growth Corridors of Tanzania (AGCOT) initiative represents a bold and ambitious national strategy with the potential to fundamentally transform the country's agricultural sector and significantly contribute to its broader socio-economic development goals by 2050.[1] By expanding the apparently successful model of the SAGCOT pilot program nationwide, AGCOT aims to create dynamic, productive, and inclusive agricultural value chains across the diverse landscapes of the SAGCOT, Central, Northern, and Mtwara corridors.

The projected landscape for 2050 suggests a differentiated but interconnected system of agricultural growth hubs. The SAGCOT corridor is poised to mature into a diversified powerhouse, building on its established foundation. The Central and Northern corridors are expected to emerge as significant production and trade zones, likely developing distinct specializations – potentially high-value horticulture and modernized livestock/grains in the Central zone, and regionally integrated horticulture and processed goods in the North. The Mtwara corridor's trajectory appears closely tied to leveraging synergies with its natural resource endowments and port infrastructure, with a major focus on cashew value addition, but requiring careful navigation of environmental sensitivities and potential resource conflicts.

However, the realization of this transformative vision by 2050 is far from guaranteed. It is contingent upon successfully overcoming a complex web of interconnected challenges. Climate change adaptation is paramount, requiring widespread adoption of resilient practices across all corridors. Mobilizing the vast financial resources needed, particularly substantial and sustained private investment, remains a critical hurdle. Delivering the necessary enabling infrastructure – transport, energy, irrigation, logistics – on the required scale and timeline is a monumental task. Ensuring that the benefits of growth are shared inclusively, empowering smallholders, women, and youth, requires deliberate and effective mechanisms. Furthermore, success depends on strong, adaptive governance, robust implementation capacity within the AGCOT Centre and its partners, and a stable, conducive policy environment over the long term.

The outlook for Tanzania's agricultural corridors in 2050 is therefore one of significant potential tempered by substantial challenges. The ambition articulated in AGCOT and AMP 2050 is high, the foundational experience from SAGCOT provides valuable

lessons, and the current political will appears strong.[1] Translating this potential into reality will demand unwavering commitment, strategic and integrated investments, effective multi-stakeholder collaboration, adaptive management in the face of uncertainty, and a steadfast focus on both economic transformation and inclusive, sustainable development for the benefit of all Tanzanians.

References

[1]

Works cited

1. Tanzania Bets Big on Agriculture with Launch of AGCOT - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/tanzania-bets-big-on-agriculture-with-launch-of-agcot/
2. Tanzania bets on new plan to transform agriculture into economic powerhouse | The Citizen, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/tanzania-bets-on-new-plan-to-transform-agriculture-into-economic-powerhouse-5015946
3. tanzaniaslovakia.sk, accessed May 6, 2025, https://tanzaniaslovakia.sk/ws/media-library/21b3183f837a4485af13f5a885da3938/tanzania-agriculture-master-plan-2050_master-plan_.pdf
4. Tanzania Unveils Draft Vision 2050: Agriculture to Drive a New Era of Prosperity, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/tanzania-unveils-draft-vision-2050-agriculture-to-drive-a-new-era-of-prosperity/
5. Norway strengthens partnership with Tanzania to advance the SAGCOT model to AGCOT, accessed May 6, 2025, https://farmlandgrab.org/post/32630-norway-strengthens-partnership-with-tanzania-to-advance-the-sagcot-model-to-agcot
6. Samia's Agcot vision sets Tanzania on path to $100 billion agriculture economy, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/samia-s-agcot-vision-sets-tanzania-on-path-to-100-billion-agriculture-economy-5023122
7. Who we are – SAGCOT – Southern Agriculture Growth Corridor Of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/who-we-are/
8. Transforming Agriculture Through SAGCOT: A Story of Vision, Partnership, and Progress, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/transforming-agriculture-through-sagcot-a-story-of-vision-partnership-and-progress/
9. Unlocking Potential – Agricultural Transformation and Scaling in ..., accessed May 6, 2025, https://scalingcommunityofpractice.com/unlocking-potential-agricultural-transformation-and-scaling-in-tanzanias-southern-highlands/

10. Transforming Agricultural Value Chains and Warehousing Systems: IFC, SAGCOT & TIC Unveil Critical Findings for Tanzania's Growth, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/2024/10/10/transforming-agricultural-value-chains-and-warehousing-systems-ifc-and-sagcot-unveil-critical-findings-for-tanzanias-growth/
11. New project to position central zone as Tanzania's mango production hub | The Guardian, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ippmedia.com/the-guardian/news/local-news/read/new-project-to-position-central-zone-as-tanzanias-mango-production-hub-2025-05-02-113006
12. AGCOT to transform central Tanzania into mango export hub - FreshPlaza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.freshplaza.com/north-america/article/9728564/agcot-to-transform-central-tanzania-into-mango-export-hub/
13. It's a new chapter in Tanzania's agricultural development under Dr. Samia, says the SAGCOT CEO - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/its-a-new-chapter-in-tanzanias-agricultural-development-under-dr-samia-says-the-sagcot-ceo/
14. Tanzania - Agriculture and Agricultural Processing - International Trade Administration, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.trade.gov/country-commercial-guides/tanzania-agriculture-and-agricultural-processing
15. East African Infrastructure Development, Part 2: The Northern Corridor - Stratfor, accessed May 6, 2025, https://worldview.stratfor.com/article/east-african-infrastructure-development-part-2-northern-corridor
16. Sustainable food systems through diversification and indigenous vegetables An analysis of the Arusha area - EU Agenda, accessed May 6, 2025, https://euagenda.eu/upload/publications/sustainable-food-systems-through-diversification-and-indigenous-vegetables-an-analysis-of-the-arusha-area.pdf
17. Mtwara Development Corridor - Wikipedia, accessed May 6, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mtwara_Development_Corridor
18. Mtwara Development Corridor - WWF Sight, accessed May 6, 2025, https://wwf-sight.org/mtwara/
19. Tanzania expands cashew processing capacity in Mtwara region - Food Business Africa, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.foodbusinessafrica.com/tanzania-expands-cashew-processing-capacity-in-mtwara-region/
20. Tanzania's Mtwara region to establish USD 2.9M cashew nut industrial park, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.foodbusinessafrica.com/tanzanias-mtwara-region-to-establish-usd-2-9m-cashew-nut-industrial-park/
21. Tanzania's Success Story: From SAGCOT to AGCOT – A Nation Forging Agricultural Prosperity - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/tanzanias-success-story-from-sagcot-to-agcot-a-nation-forging-agricultural-prosperity/

22. National Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) Policy, Tanzania - PPIAF, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ppiaf.org/documents/1282
23. documents1.worldbank.org, accessed May 6, 2025, https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099121124114099994/pdf/P180187-13bf54fc-1ddc-4c89-b088-df869c30f62b.pdf
24. documents1.worldbank.org, accessed May 6, 2025, http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/099121924163520324/pdf/P18018715c2c9e0651b367172fb62ee6b60.pdf
25. Tanzania Country Climate and Development Report - World Bank, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/tanzania/publication/ccdr
26. UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA - PreventionWeb.net, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.preventionweb.net/media/103513/download
27. SAGCOT Centre: A Catalyst for Agricultural Transformation in Tanzania - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/sagcot-centre-a-catalyst-for-agricultural-transformation-in-tanzania/
28. 'Transformation of agriculture key to success of Vision 2050' | The Guardian - IPPmedia, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ippmedia.com/the-guardian/news/local-news/read/transformation-of-agriculture-key-to-success-of-vision-2050-2025-04-16-190204
29. Tanzania Overview: Development news, research, data | World Bank, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/tanzania/overview
30. Climate Risks, Small Scale Farmers and Business: The Case of the Tanzanian Maize Sector - Harvard Kennedy School, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.hks.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/Tanzania_Maize_Partnerships_2018.pdf
31. Scoping Project: Tanzania's potential for integrated agriculture-infrastructure solutions - Agroberichten Buitenland, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.agroberichtenbuitenland.nl/binaries/agroberichtenbuitenland/documenten/rapporten/2018/08/28/scoping-project-agri-infra-tanzania/Scoping+Project+-+agri+-+infra+solutions+Tanzania_.pdf
32. Making economic corridors work for the agricultural sector - FAO Knowledge Repository, accessed May 6, 2025, https://openknowledge.fao.org/3/i4204e/i4204e.pdf
33. NATIONAL PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (PPP) POLICY, accessed May 6, 2025, https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/sites/default/files/2024-07/Tanzania_PPP%20Policy.pdf
34. Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania Appendix VIII: Environmental Management and Climate Change - Land Portal, accessed May 6, 2025, https://landportal.org/sites/default/files/library/resources/Appendix_VIII-Environment_and_Climate_Change_04.pdf
35. Policy Analysis in relation to climate change adaptation, mitigation, agriculture and REDD Final Report, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.tfcg.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Policy-Analysis-on-agriculture-and-climate-change-in-Tanzania--2013.pdf

36. Norway strengthens partnership with Tanzania to advance the SAGCOT model to AGCOT, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.farmlandgrab.org/post/32630-norway-strengthens-partnership-with-tanzania-to-advance-the-sagcot-model-to-agcot
37. Norway Strengthens Partnership with Tanzania to Advance the SAGCOT Model to AGCOT, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/2025/02/05/norway-strengthens-partnership-with-tanzania-to-advance-the-sagcot-model-to-agcot/
38. Draft National Development Vision 2050 Unveiled- prioritizes agriculture as the foundational pillar for Tanzania's socio-economic transformation. - SAGCOT, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/2024/12/11/draft-national-development-vision-2050-unveiled-prioritizes-agriculture-as-the-foundational-pillar-for-tanzanias-socio-economic-transformation/
39. United Republic of Tanzania - Mtwara Region, accessed May 6, 2025, https://mtwara.go.tz/storage/app/uploads/public/5ae/f64/e89/5aef64e899c51819150196.pdf
40. UNDP Mtwara Region Investment Guide, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2023-11/mtwararegioninvestementguide.pdf
41. Bashe charts new course for agricultural growth corridors - Farmlandgrab.org, accessed May 6, 2025, https://farmlandgrab.org/post/32274-bashe-charts-new-course-for-agricultural-growth-corridors
42. HIGH-LEVEL BRIEF – THE SAGCOT INITIATIVE IMPLEMENTATION - Scaling Community of Practice, accessed May 6, 2025, https://scalingcommunityofpractice.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/SAGCOT-03-2024-GK-17.03.2024-1.pdf
43. Tanzania Agricultural Development Bank Phase II, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.afdb.org/sites/default/files/documents/projects-and-operations/tanzania_-_tanzania_agricultural_development_bank_phase_ii.pdf
44. AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK GROUP TANZANIA - Global Agriculture and Food Security Program, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.gafspfund.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/Tanzania_AR-_Tanzania_initiative_for_preventing_aflatoxin_contamination__TANIPAC__APRV%20%281%29.pdf
45. SAGCOT – Southern Agriculture Growth Corridor Of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/
46. Majaliwa applauds SAGCOT for boosting agricultural development | The Guardian, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ippmedia.com/the-guardian/news/local-news/read/majaliwa-applauds-sagcot-for-boosting-agricultural-development-2025-04-29-120923
47. SAGCOT Corridor Map - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/sagcot-corridor-map/
48. Southern Agricultural Growth Corridor of Tanzania (SAGCOT) - Green Policy

Platform, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.greenpolicyplatform.org/organization/southern-agricultural-growth-corridor-tanzania-sagcot
49. SAGCOT – AFRICA'S SUCCESS STORY - CGSpace, accessed May 6, 2025, https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstreams/fb06aafa-51c2-4b9c-a8f4-9db1f395c73a/download
50. AGCOT and TADB Forge Strategic Partnership to Boost Soybean and Agribusiness Development in Tanzania - SAGCOT, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/2025/03/07/agcot-and-tadb-forge-strategic-partnership-to-boost-soybean-and-agribusiness-development-in-tanzania/
51. Contract Farming in Tanzania's Central Corridor, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.eda.admin.ch/dam/countries/countries-content/tanzania/en/Contract_Farming_CAPEX_2016_EN.pdf
52. Contract Farming in Tanzania's Central Corridor - Lessons from the Rural Livelihood Development Programme Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.eda.admin.ch/dam/countries/countries-content/tanzania/en/Contract_Farming_CAPEX_2016_Full_Version_EN.pdf
53. sagcot.co.tz, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/wp-content/uploads/2024/document/becomepartner.pdf
54. SAGCOT Partnership Principles, accessed May 6, 2025, https://sagcot.co.tz/partnership-principles/
55. Empowering Women and Youth in Agricultural Transformation: Insights from Tanzania and Sierra Leone, accessed May 6, 2025, https://africa-agricultural-transformation.org/empowering-women-and-youth-in-agricultural-transformation-insights-from-tanzania-and-sierra-leone/
56. PLAIN TRUTH NEWS – SIERRA LEONE NEWS, accessed May 6, 2025, https://plaintruthnewspaper.com/
57. National Five Year Development Plan 2016-17 2020-21 - Istat, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.istat.it/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/National-Five-Year-Development-Plan.pdf
58. SAGCOT – AFRICA'S SUCCESS STORY - CGSpace, accessed May 6, 2025, https://cgspace.cgiar.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/fb06aafa-51c2-4b9c-a8f4-9db1f395c73a/content
59. Agricultural Growth Corridors - CGIAR's IAES, accessed May 6, 2025, https://iaes.cgiar.org/sites/default/files/ISPC_StrategyTrends_DevelopmentCorridors.pdf
60. Corridors of power or plenty? Lessons from Tanzania and Mozambique - ResearchGate, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367220298_Corridors_of_power_or_plenty_Lessons_from_Tanzania_and_Mozambique
61. (PDF) Spaces for integration or a divide? New-generation growth corridors and their integration in global value chains in the Global South - ResearchGate, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324261702_Spaces_for_integration_or_

a_divide_New-generation_growth_corridors_and_their_integration_in_global_value_chains_in_the_Global_South
62. Tanzania Public Private Partnership Regulations Amendments, 2023 - Clyde & Co, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.clydeco.com/en/insights/2024/06/tanzania-public-private-partnership-regulations
63. PPPC | Welcome Note - Public Private Partnership Centre, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.pppcentre.go.tz/pages/welcome-note
64. Tanzania mobilises Sh25 trillion for mega projects under PPP | The Citizen, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/news/national/tanzania-mobilises-sh25-trillion-for-mega-projects-under-ppp-4820116
65. Support to Tanzania's PPP Program (Phase 2) - PPIAF, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ppiaf.org/activity/tanzania-support-tanzania%E2%80%99s-ppp-program-phase-2-0
66. HOW DOES THE GOVERNMENT SUPPORT THE INVESTMENTS IN PPPS? - PPPC, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.pppcentre.go.tz/faqs/how-does-the-government-support-the-investments-in-ppps
67. Tanzania - Development Corridors Partnership, accessed May 6, 2025, https://developmentcorridors.org/tanzania/
68. National Five Year Development Plan 2021/22–2025/26. | FAOLEX, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.fao.org/faolex/results/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC205461/
69. NATIONAL FIVE YEAR DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2021/22 - 2025/26, accessed May 6, 2025, https://tanzaniagoaltrack.nbs.go.tz/content/platform/tanzania/five-year-plan.pdf
70. Tanzania Transport Master Plan (Vol.3) | PDF - Scribd, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/327281132/Tanzania-Transport-Master-Plan-Vol-3
71. Tanzania Transport Master Plan (Vol.2) | PDF | Public–Private Partnership - Scribd, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/327280539/Tanzania-Transport-Master-Plan-Vol-2
72. Comprehensive Transport and Trade System Development Master Plan in the United Republic of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://openjicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/12150520_01.pdf
73. Comprehensive Transport and Trade System Development Master Plan in the United Republic of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://openjicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/12150512_01.pdf
74. COURT & LEGAL: Introducing digital justice in Tanzania's judiciary | The Citizen, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.thecitizen.co.tz/tanzania/oped/court-legal-introducing-digital-justice-in-tanzania-s-judiciary-2639156
75. Multinational - Mtwara Development Corridor - MapAfrica, accessed May 6, 2025, https://mapafrica.afdb.org/en/projects/46002-P-Z1-D00-021

76. Climate Risk Profile: Tanzania | Adaptation Community, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.adaptationcommunity.net/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/GIZ_Climate-Risk-Profile-Tanzania_EN_final.pdf
77. PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL TO THE ADAPTATION FUND, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/May-_2020_-Final_-Revised-version-withtrack-changes-Bunda-project.pdf
78. New fertilizer plant to be developed in Tanzania - World Fertilizer, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.worldfertilizer.com/project-news/06082024/new-fertilizer-plant-to-be-developed-in-tanzania/
79. THE DEPUTY MINISTER HON. SILINDE UNVAILED THE GOVERNMENT'S AGRICULTURAL TRANSFORMATIVE PLAN - Cashew Board of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.cashew.go.tz/the-deputy-minister-hon-silinde-unvailed-the-governments-agricultural-transformative-plan/
80. AfDB Group's Long Term Strategy, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.afdb.org/en/consultations/closed-consultations/afdb-groups-long-term-strategy
81. Feed Africa | African Development Bank Group, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.afdb.org/en/the-high-5/feed-africa
82. Agriculture Overview: Development news, research, data | World Bank, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/agriculture/overview
83. Strengthening Regional Food Trade: Tanzania-Comoros Partnership in Focus., accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.undp.org/tanzania/news/strengthening-regional-food-trade-tanzania-comoros-partnership-focus
84. Samia: Agro-sector now at 10pc of budget funds | The Guardian - IPPmedia, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ippmedia.com/the-guardian/news/local-news/read/samia-agro-sector-now-at-10pc-of-budget-funds-2025-04-29-115535
85. Climate-Smart Agriculture in Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://climateknowledgeportal.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/2019-06/CSA-in-Tanzania.pdf
86. Agriculture Climate Resilience Plan 2014-2019 - FAOLEX Database, accessed May 6, 2025, https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/tan152483.pdf
87. Intensive Agriculture as Climate Change Adaptation? Economic and Environmental Tradeoffs in Securing Rural Livelihoods in Tanzanian River Basins - Frontiers, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/environmental-science/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2021.674363/full
88. Tanzania's Agricultural - World Bank Documents and Reports, accessed May 6, 2025, https://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/248961468001158010/pdf/94883-REVISED-Box393201B-PUBLIC-Tanzania-Ag-Risk-Assessment-web-6-29-15-JK-jtc.

pdf
89. Dynamics of the Nature and Value of Urban Agriculture in Arusha City, Tanzania: The Case of Daraja Mbili and Lemala Wards - Scientific Research Publishing, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=111723
90. For shared prosperity Tanzania needs a universal strategy - World Bank Blogs, accessed May 6, 2025, https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/africacan/for-shared-prosperity-tanzania-needs-a-universal-strategy
91. AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN FOR THE NACALA CORRIDOR Provisional Draft Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, accessed May 6, 2025, https://openjicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/1000050061.pdf
92. Northern Corridor Strategic Plan 2017-2021, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ttcanc.org/sites/default/files/2023-01/Northern%20Corridor%20Strategic%20Plan%202017-2021.pdf
93. Seeds and Agriculture Research Processes in Tanzania - Kilimo Kwanza, accessed May 6, 2025, https://kilimokwanza.org/seeds-and-agriculture-research-processes-in-tanzania/
94. MINISTRY ALLOCATES 41.39BN/- FOR SEEDS RESEARCH - Agenzia ICE, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.ice.it/it/news/notizie-dal-mondo/263870
95. Peri-Urban Agriculture and its Impacts on Smallholder livelihoods in Arusha, Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/download/14710/6450/43680
96. The future of fruit and vegetables in the food system of Tanzania: a visioning exercise, accessed May 6, 2025, https://worldveg.tind.io/record/76013/files/Mwombeki%20et%20al_2024_The%20future%20of%20fruit%20and%20vegetables%2Cin%20the%20food%20system%20of%20Tanzania.pdf
97. ARUSHA REGION Ministry of Agriculture, Food Security - National Bureau of Statistics Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.nbs.go.tz/nbs/takwimu/Agriculture/ARUSHA%20REGION%20REPORT.pdf
98. Evaluation of the implementation of tanzania development vision (tDV) 2025 - Ofisi ya Rais Mipango na Uwekezaji, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.planninginvestment.go.tz/uploads/documents/sw-1720692958-TDV2025%20Final%20Evaluation%20Report.pdf
99. Regional Investment Guides – ESRF - Economic and Social Research Foundation, accessed May 6, 2025, https://www.esrf.or.tz/regional-investment-guides/
100. 6 NOVEMBA, 2023 1 BUNGE LA TANZANIA _____ MAJADILIANO YA BUNGE - Parliament of Tanzania, accessed May 6, 2025, http://www.parliament.go.tz/polis/uploads/documents/1699700334-BJT06NOVEMBA2023.pdf